

State Lead Agency Contact Information	
Name	Company
Laura Castro	Iowa Dept. Agriculture & Land Stewardship - IDALS
Perry Cervantes	Department of Agriculture
Michael Williams	Kentucky Dept of Agriculture
Brian Verhougstraete	Michigan Dept. of Ag.
Stephanie Ende	Minnesota Department of Agriculture
Doug Owens	Illinois Dept. of Agriculture
Gary Meyer	Kansas Dept of Ag
Ryan Okey	Clemson University DPR
Chad Carpenter	WV Department of Agriculture
Liza Fleeson Trossbach	Virginia Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services
Tony Cofer	State of Alabama
Chad Hayes	Tennessee Department of Agriculture
Christopher Wade	Delaware Dept. Agriculture
Dawn Wall	Missouri Department of Agriculture
Patrick Jones	NCDACS
dave scott	Office of Indiana State Chemist
JD Farley	South Dakota Department of Agriculture
Donald Gilbert	PA Dept of Agriculture
Neil Richmond	FDACS
Taryn VanWassenhove	New Mexico Dept of Ag
Tim Creger	Nebraska Dept.of Agriculture
Mike McConville	Department of Environmental Protection
Ryan King	Ohio Dept of Ag
Mark McCloskey	WI Dept of Ag. Trade & Consumer Protection
matthew lopez	Colorado Dept of Agriculture
Michael Ledlow	MDAC
Kevin Wofford	Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry
Rob Hofstetter	MD Dept. of Agriculture, Pesticide Regulation
Jack Peterson	Arizona Department of Agriculture

Address	Address 2	City/Town	State/Province	ZIP/Postal Code	Country
---------	-----------	-----------	----------------	-----------------	---------

Iowa
 Texas
 Kentucky
 MI
 Minnesota
 IL
 USA
 SC
 West Virginia
 Virginia
 AL
 Tn
 DE
 Mo
 NC
 Indiana
 SD
 PA
 Florida
 New Mexico
 Nebraska
 New Jersey
 Ohio
 WI
 Colorado
 MS
 LA
 MD
 Arizona

Email Address	Phone Number
Laura.Castro@IowaAgriculture.gov	5152816597
perry.cervantes@texasagriculture.gov	512-955-9336
Michael.R.Williams@ky.gov	502-782-9240
verhougstraeteb@michigan.gov	517-582-4573
Stephanie.Ende@state.mn.us	651-201-6504
doug.owens@illinois.gov	217-785-2850
gary.meyer@ks.gov	7855646688
rokey@clemson.edu	8646462151
ccarpenter@wvda.us	3045582209
liza.fleeson@vdacs.virginia.gov	804-371-6559
tony.cofer@agi.alabama.gov	3342407237
chad.hayes@tn.gov	615-626-9352
Christopher.wade@delaware.gov	302-698-4570
Dawn.Wall@mda.mo.gov	(573) 751-5510
patrick.jones@ncagr.gov	336-496-7106
scottde@purdue.edu	7654131242
joseph.farley@state.sd.us	605.773.4432
dgilbert@pa.gov	717-772-5214
neil.richmond@fdacs.gov	(850) 617-7862
tvanwassenhove@nmda.nmsu.edu	5756462678
tim.creger@nebraska.gov	402-471-6882
Mike.McConville@dep.nj.gov	609-984-6568
Ryan.king@agri.ohio.gov	6147286394
mark.mccloskey@wisconsin.gov	608-224-4530
matthew.lopez@state.co.us	7206530220
michaell1@mdac.ms.gov	6623257760
kwofford@ldaf.state.la.us	3183457595
rob.hofstetter@maryland.gov	14108415710
jpetererson@azda.gov	6025423575

How many complaints did your agency receive regarding the off-target movement and subsequent symptoms

2018

56 Misuse Investigations + 2 Target Records Inspections (1)

9
26
8
51
330

n/a

6
2
0
10
55
0
220
6
141
48
0
0
0
95

NA

52
5
0
65
33

0 for the above products

0

from dicamba?

2019

83 Misuse Investigations + 4 Target Records Inspections, as of 4/17/20 (1)

6

2

10

21

720

n/a

4

0

0

4

22

0

98

6

181

14

2

0

0

135

NA

25

0

0

8

3

O for the above products

1

How many investigations did your agency conduct as a result of those complaints?
--

2018

56 Misuse Investigations

9

26

8

26

330

239

6

2

0

10

55

0

112

5 - 1 withdrawn

141

48

0

0

0

29

NA

46

5

0

65

33

0

0

2019

83 Misuse Investigations, as of 4/17/20

6
2
10
15
720
106
4
0
0
4
22
0
98

5 - 1 withdrawn

181
14
2
0
0
45

NA

20
0
0
8
3
0
1

Did your state implement an application cutoff date for use of dicamba on soybeans?

2018: If Yes, what date?

No cutoff date

no

No

June 20th, plus no applications when 85 degree Fahrenheit or higher

No

no

no

No

no

No

NO

no

no

no

No

No

no

NO

no

No

no

no

No

No

no

2019: If Yes, what date?	2020: if Yes, what date?
No cutoff date	No cutoff date
no	no
45 days after planting	45 days after planting
No	No
June 20th	June 20th
Yes. June 30 extended to July 15	June 20
no	no
no	no
No	No
no	no
No	No
NO	NO
no	no
no	no
no	yes, 6-20-20
Yes, June 30	Yes, June 30
No	No
no	no
NO	NO
no	no
No	No
no	no
no	no
No	No
No	No
no	no

Number of incidents in which you could not prove off-target particle drift at the time of application.	
	2018
n/a (2)	5
	24
	8
	20
	225
n/a	4
	1
	0
	7
	30
	0
cases are still being reviewed	2
118 (84%)	44
	0
n/a	0
	14
NA	44
5 - Subsequent lab issues played a role in this outcome	
NA	51
	0
NA	0

	2019

n/a (2)

4

1

10

14

405 of 523 cases completed

n/a

3

0

0

4

12

0

cases are still being reviewed

2 - Waiting for sample results on others

163 (90%)

12

2

n/a

0

16

NA

20

0

NA

3

0

NA

0

Number of incidents in which you proved off-target particle drift at the time of application.	
	2018
n/a (2)	4
	2
	0
	6
	105
n/a	2
	1
	0
	3
around 25	0
cases are still being reviewed	3
23 (16%)	4
	0
n/a	0
	14
NA	2
	0
NA	14
	33
NA	0

	2019

n/a (2)

2

1

0

1

50 so far

n/a

1

0

0

0

10

0

cases are still being reviewed

2

18 (18%)

2

0

n/a

0

17

NA

0

0

NA

5

3

NA

1

Number of incidents in which there was a violation of the label-required drift mitigation measures but you were	
	2018
n/a (2)	
see comments	
	24
	7
	3
	86
don't know what is being asked	
	2
	0
	0
	3
N/A	
	0
cases are still being reviewed	
	2
79 (32%)	
	0
	0
	0
	12
NA	
	37
	2
NA	
	21
	0
NA	
	0

NOT able to prove particle drift.

2019

n/a (2)

see comments

1

9

4

68 so far

don't know what is being asked

1

0

0

0

N/A

0

cases are still being reviewed

1

58 (32%)

0

0

0

15

NA

15

0

NA

0

0

NA

1

What were your agency's total expenditures related to dicamba?	
	2017

Amount has not been determined
unable to determine

Unknown

We do not have detailed enough tracking to provide you with this information.

2.5 FTE \$187,500 salary only \$330,000 sal + benefits

n/a

0

\$150,000

Unknown

0

\$17,509.10 (no samples were collected)

Absolutely no way to calculate

\$1,223,307

450,000

unknown

0

0

UNKNOWN

0

Unknown

minimal

0

has not been calculated

N/A

0

0

	2018

Amount has not been determined
 unable to determine
 Not sure
 Unknown

3.5 FTE \$263,000 salary only \$460,000 sal + benefits
 n/a

	0
\$30,000	
Unknown	0
\$43,118.66	
Absolutely no way to calcate	
\$2,229,647	
300,000	
	0
	0
	0
UNKNOWN	
	0
Unknown	
\$5,500 plus lab costs whcih have not been claculated	
	0
has not been calculated	
N/A	
	0
	0

	2019

Amount has not been determined

unable to determine

Not sure

Unknown

5.5 FTE \$440,000 salary only \$770,000 sal + benefits

n/a

0

\$12,000

Unknown

0

\$34,404.56

Absolutely no way to calculate

\$894,842

100,000

unknown

0

0

UNKNOWN

0

Unknown

0

0

has not been calculated

N/A

0

\$3000

Additional Comments
Open-Ended Response

(1) During Crop Years 2018 & 2019, IDALS has only reported as OTT dicamba complaints confirmed applications of product. Due to Covid 19 Shelter at home implementation in Texas, there was not enough time to access all complaints manually. Both 2019 complaints involved DT beans vs Liberty Link beans.

We still have 197 alleged dicamba cases on which an action has not yet been taken.

Questions asked for specific details beyond the scope of our tracking. The bulk of our dicamba complaints ended in dead

We do not track inspection expenditures for question #8.

Most producers have adopted the technology to protect themselves from drift.

Delaware had no reported dicamba drift complaints during the 2018 and 2019 growing season, however after the fact we

1. Dicamba expenditures in 2018 rose sharply from the need to build infrastructure to address the overwhelming volume. Dicamba showed up in a majority of the samples that SDDA took, but was hard to determine what application the off target was. In our two 2019 dicamba drift incidents, Engenia & Extendimax respectively were both tank mixed with glyphosate. Dicamba in Florida has been extremely fortunate as we have not received any complaints involving dicamba in our cotton or soybean.

MANDATORY LABEL RECORD KEEPING REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE NOT DIRECTLY RELATED TO APPLICATION STANDARDS. New Jersey hasn't received reported complaints regarding dicamba.

We did have a complaint in 2019 that involved an allegation of Dicamba (new chemistry) tank carryover. I didn't include it. Colorado has not yet seen an issue with Dicamba drift.

In all cases investigated, all were assessed enforcement action.

Cost was a SWAG - \$17x40 hours, plus lab costs of double that. Dicamba is not the issue, 2,4-D has the potential to be the